

PRET DRAM Controller: Bank Privatization for Predictability and Temporal Isolation

Sungjun Kim Edward A. Lee Isaac Liu Hiren D. Patel Jan Reineke Columbia University UC Berkeley UC Berkeley University of Waterloo UC Berkeley

<speaker>

CODES+ISSS as part of ESWEEK 2011 *Taipei, Taiwan, October 10th, 2011*

Predictability and Temporal Isolation

- Many embedded systems are real-time systems
- Memory hierarchy has a strong influence on their performance:
- → Need for Predictability
- Trend towards integrated architectures:
- > Need for Temporal Isolation





Side airbag in car, Reaction in <10 mSec

Crankshaft-synchronous tasks,

Reaction in <45 μ Sec



Audio + video playback with latency and bandwidth constraints

• • • Outline

- Introduction
- DRAM Basics
- Related Work: Predator and AMC
- PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas
- Evaluation
- Integration into Precision-Timed ARM



• Introduction

o DRAM Basics

- Related Work: Predator and AMC
- PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas
- Evaluation
- Integration into Precision-Timed ARM

Memory Hierarchy: Dynamic RAM vs Static RAM



from Hennessy and Patterson, Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach, 2007.



DRAM Memory Controller

Translates sequences of memory accesses by Clients (CPUs and I/O) into **legal** sequences of DRAM commands

- Needs to obey all timing constraints
- Needs to insert refresh commands sufficiently often
- Needs to translate "physical" memory addresses into row/column/ bank tuples



Dynamic RAM Timing Constraints

DRAM Memory Controllers have to conform to different timing constraints that define minimal distances between consecutive DRAM commands.

Almost all of these constraints are due to the sharing of resources at different levels of the hierarchy:



General-Purpose DRAM Controllers

- Schedule DRAM commands dynamically
- Timing hard to predict even for single client:
 - Timing of request depends on past requests:
 - Request to same/different bank?
 - Request to open/closed row within bank?
 - Controller might reorder requests to minimize latency
 - Controllers dynamically schedule refreshes
- Non-composable timing. Timing depends on behavior of other clients:
 - They influence sequence of "past requests"
 - Arbitration may or may not provide guarantees







- Introduction
- DRAM Basics
- o Related Work: Predator and AMC
- PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas
- Evaluation
- Integration into Precision-Timed ARM







• • • Outline

Introduction

- DRAM Basics
- Related Work: Predator and AMC

o PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas

- Evaluation
- Integration into Precision-Timed ARM

PRET DRAM Controller: Three Innovations

- Expose internal structure of DRAM devices:
 - Expose individual banks within DRAM device as multiple independent resources



- Defer refreshes to the end of transactions
 - Allows to hide refresh latency
- Perform refreshes "manually":
 - Replace standard refresh command with multiple reads

PRET DRAM Controller: Exploiting Internal Structure of DRAM Module

- Consists of 4-8 banks in 1-2 ranks
 - Share only command and data bus, otherwise independent
- Partition into four groups of banks in alternating ranks
- Cycle through groups in a time-triggered fashion



 Successive accesses to same group obey timing constraints
Reads/writes to different groups do not interfere
Provides four independent and

independent and predictable resources

PRET DRAM Controller: Exploiting Internal Structure of DRAM Module



Pipelined Ba

Pipelined Bank Access Scheme



PRET DRAM Controller: "Manual" Refreshes

- Every row needs to be refreshed every 64ms
- Dedicated refresh commands refresh one row in each bank at once
- We replace these with "manual" refreshes through reads
 - Improves worst-case latency of short requests



PRET DRAM Controller: Defer Refreshes

- Refreshes do not have to happen periodically
- Refresh every row at least every 64 ms
- Schedule refreshes slightly more often than necessary → Enables to defer refreshes



General-Purpose DRAM Controller vs PRET DRAM Controller

General-Purpose Controller

- Abstracts DRAM as a single shared resource
- Schedules refreshes dynamically

- Schedules commands dynamically
- "Open page" policy speculates on locality

PRET DRAM Controller

- Abstracts DRAM as multiple independent resources
- Refreshes as reads: shorter interruptions
- Defer refreshes: improves perceived latency
- Follows periodic, timetriggered schedule
- "Closed page" policy: access-history independence

• • • Outline

Introduction

- DRAM Basics
- Related Work: Predator and AMC
- PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas

o Evaluation

Integration into Precision-Timed ARM

Conventional DRAM Controller (DRAMSim2) vs PRET DRAM Controller: Latency Evaluation



PRET DRAM Controller vs Predator: Analytical Evaluation



Predator:

- abstracts DRAM as single resource
- uses standard refresh mechanism

PRET controller improves worst-case access latency of small transfers

latency [cycles]

PRET DRAM Controller vs Predator: Analytical Evaluation



- Less of a difference for larger transfers
 - Predator provides slightly higher bandwidth due to more efficient refresh mechanism

• • • Outline

- Introduction
- DRAM Basics
- Related Work: Predator and AMC
- PRET DRAM Controller: Main Ideas
- Evaluation

Integration into Precision-Timed ARM

Precision-Timed ARM (PTARM) Architecture Overview http://chess.eecs.berkeley.edu/pret/



- Thread-Interleaved Pipeline for predictable timing without sacrificing high throughput
- One private DRAM Resource + DMA Unit per Hardware Thread
- Shared Scratchpad Instruction and Data Memories for low latency access
 Reineke et al., Berkeley 29

Conclusions and Future Work

- Temporal isolation and improved worst-case latency by bank privatization
- How to program the inverted memory hierarchy?

Raffaello Sanzio da Urbino – The Athens School



References

Related Work on Memory Controllers:

- M. Paolieri, E. Quiñones, F. Cazorla, and M. Valero, "An analyzable memory controller for hard realtime CMPs," IEEE Embedded Systems Letters, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 86–90, 2010.
- B. Akesson, K. Goossens, and M. Ringhofer, "Predator: a predictable SDRAM memory controller," in CODES+ISSS. ACM, 2007, pp. 251–256.

Work within the PRET project:

- [CODES '11] Jan Reineke, Isaac Liu, Hiren D. Patel, Sungjun Kim, Edward A. Lee, <u>PRET DRAM Controller: Bank Privatization for Predictability and Temporal Isolation</u>, <u>International</u> <u>Conference on Hardware/Software Codesign and System Synthesis (CODES+ISSS)</u>, October, 2011.
- [DAC '11] Dai Nguyen Bui, Edward A. Lee, Isaac Liu, Hiren D. Patel, Jan Reineke, <u>Temporal Isolation on Multiprocessing Architectures</u>, *Design Automation Conference (DAC)*, June, <u>2011.</u>
- [Asilomar '10] Isaac Liu, Jan Reineke, and Edward A. Lee, <u>PRET Architecture Supporting Concurrent Programs with Composable Timing Properties, in Signals,</u> <u>Systems, and Computers (ASILOMAR), Conference Record of the Forty Fourth Asilomar Conference,</u> <u>November 2010, Pacific Grove, California.</u>
- [CASES '08] Ben Lickly, Isaac Liu, Sungjun Kim, Hiren D. Patel, Stephen A. Edwards and Edward A. Lee, "

Predictable Programming on a Precision Timed Architecture," in Proceedings of International Conference on Compilers, Architecture, and Synthesis for Embedded Systems (CASES), Piscataway, NJ, pp. 137-146, IEEE Press, October, 2008.